

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

N

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIL		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/593,645		06/13/2000	Joseph A. Manico	81254F-P	7582
1333	7590	06/05/2003	•		
PATENT L			EXAMINER		
EASTMAN KODAK COMPANY 343 STATE STREET				HENDERSON, MARK T	
ROCHESTE	ER, NY 1	4650-2201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3722	12
				DATE MAILED: 06/05/2003	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •		$\mathcal{M}$				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/593,645	MANICO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Mark T Henderson	3722				
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wi  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rejuication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT III. by statute, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this communication.  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>05 March 2003</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2I	b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition to closed in accordance with the practice Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-12,15-23,26-34 and 44-47 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,15-23,26-34 and 44-47</u> is/are rejected.						
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restricti	on and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed		sapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Interna</li><li>* See the attached detailed Office action</li></ul>	tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign lang						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page	O-948) 5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
I.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/593,645 Page 2

Art Unit: 3722

**DETAILED ACTION** 

Faxing of Responses to Office Actions

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, TC 3700 is encouraging

FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703)872-9302 (Official) and (703)872-9303 (for After Finals). This practice may be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and

art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into TC 3700 will be promptly

forwarded to the examiner.

1. Claims 10, 12, 15-19, 23, 26, 27, 29, 44, 45 and 47 have been amended for further

examination. Claims 13, 14, 24, and 25 have been canceled.

Application/Control Number: 09/593,645 Page 3

Art Unit: 3722

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Howell (1,304,773).

Howell discloses in Fig. 1, 2 and 5, an image product comprising: a sheet (1) having a first side (Fig. 2) and a second side (Fig. 1), wherein the first side has an image layer (7 and 8) formed on the sheet; the sheet having fold lines (4) which form a central section (A) and a first side section (5) and a second side section (6); wherein the central section (A) is positioned between the first (5) and second (6) side sections; the side sections are folded so that the image layer (7 an 8) is exposed; wherein the side sections cover the central section (as seen in Fig. 5); wherein the side sections have ends (5a and 6a) which substantially abut each other.

Application/Control Number: 09/593,645 Page 4

Art Unit: 3722

3. Claims 20-22, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Viesturs et al (4,350,195).

Viesturs et al discloses in Fig. 1 and 2, a dual sided image product comprising: a support substrate (24a, 24b, 24c) having a first side and a back side, wherein the first side has an image layer (imaged document which is considered to be a layer) separate from the substrate (separate document is placed in sheet pocket); the support substrate having fold lines (F) which provide for a Z type fold; and wherein the image product comprises a free standing binder product comprising a cover (10 and 12) for holding a leaf (Fig. 8).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1, 2, 4-9, 29-33 and 44-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applebaum et al in view of Robertson et al (6,293,592).

Application/Control Number: 09/593,645 Page 5

Art Unit: 3722

Applebaum et al discloses in Fig. 4-6, an image product comprising: a first support substrate (34, and a first portion of attachment section (48a) of the hinge 48) having a separate image layer (ink layer which forms the picture); a second support substrate (26, and a second portion or attachment section (48b) of the hinge 48) having a separate image layer (ink layer which forms the picture); the second support substrate secured (by hinge) to the first support substrate to form a dual sided integral composite image product (30, as seen in Fig. 4 and 6, and in Col. 5, lines 25-28); said image product having a plurality hinge lines (G and G') about which the image product may be folded; wherein the substrates are made from a photographic media and paper; a cover (20, as seen in Fig. 4) for holding a hinge leaf (8), and an attaching member (which can be in the form of binding posts (rings), as stated in Col. 3, lines 50-55) to secure the leaf to the cover; and wherein the composite image product comprises a free standing product (Fig. 4). Applebaum further discloses that the print can further be made into numerous panels in which they are separated by a fold line (Col. 5, lines 55-65).

However, Applebaum et al does not disclose a fold line per se about which the integral composite may be folded.

Robertson et al discloses in Fig. 3, a first substrate (168 and a first portion of hinge film (164a)), and a second substrate (172 and a second portion of hinge film (164b)), wherein the hinge between the substrates is a hinge/fold line (Col. 3, lines 40-49).

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 09/593,645

Art Unit: 3722

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Applebaum et al's image product to include a hinge having a fold line as taught by Robertson et al for the purpose of enabling the folding of the two substrates.

In regards to Claims 6 and 7, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the substrates and image layer in any desirable material, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applebaum et al in view of Robertson et al (6,293,592), and further in view of Douglas (5,815,964).

Applebaum et al as modified by Robertson et al discloses an image product comprising all the elements as claimed in Claims 1 and 2, and as set forth above. However, Applebaum et al and Robertson et al do not disclose wherein the image product allows for a Z-type fold.

Douglas discloses in Fig. 1 an 2, an image product (10) comprising a plurality of fold lines (14 and 16) for providing a Z-type fold in the image product.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Applebaum et al's and Robertson et al's image product to include a Z-type fold as taught by Douglas for the purpose of folding multiple panels in a compact position.

Application/Control Number: 09/593,645

Page 7

Art Unit: 3722

6. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howell.

Howell discloses an image product comprising all the elements as claimed in Claim 10, and as set forth above. However, Howell does not disclose: wherein the sheet is made from photographic paper and a thermal media, and includes photographic emulsion layer.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the sheets in any desirable material to display an image, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

7. Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howell in view of Viesturs et al.

Howell discloses an image product comprising all the elements as claimed in Claim 10, and as set forth above. However, Howell does not disclose: wherein the image product comprises a cover for holding at least one leaf; and a free standing product.

Viesturs et al disclose an image product comprising a free standing binder product having a cover (10 and 12) for holding a leaf (Fig. 8).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Howell's image product to include a cover binder as taught by Viesturs et al for the purpose of protecting the image product from outer hazards.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/593,645

Art Unit: 3722

8. Claims 23 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Viesturs et al.

Viesturs et al discloses an image product comprising all the elements as claimed in Claim 20, and as set forth above. However, Viesturs et al does not disclose: wherein the support substrate is made from a photographic media; and includes photographic emulsion layer.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the substrates in any desirable material to display an image, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

9. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applebaum et al in view of Robertson et al and further in view Hansen

Applebaum et al as modified by Robertson et al discloses an image product comprising all the elements as disclosed in Claims 29-33, and as set forth above. However, Applebaum et al does not disclose a scored line on the leaf with the opening allowing the leaf to be installed in a binding attachment.

Hansen discloses in Fig. 1, an image product leaf (wherein the image product consist of the final product of the support substrate (10) and the image layer document (not shown) placed on the support substrate) having scored lines (11) with the opening.

Application/Control Number: 09/593,645

Page 9

Art Unit: 3722

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time

the invention was made to modify Applebaum et al's and Robertson et al's image product leaf to

include scored lines with the opening as taught by Hansen for the purpose of assisting with the

removal of the leaf.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12, 15-23, 26-34, and 44-47 have been

considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Prior Art References

The prior art references listed in the attached PTO-892, but not used in a rejection of the

claims, are cited for (their/its) structure. Bellanca discloses an image product that is foldable,

wherein the ends abut.

Page 10

Art Unit: 3722

## **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark T. Henderson whose telephone number is (703)305-0189. The examiner can be reached on Monday - Friday from 7:30 AM to 3:45 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner supervisor, A. L. Wellington, can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax number for TC 3700 is (703)-872-9302. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 3700 receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-1148.

MTH

June 2, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700**